



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/800,529

03/15/2004

Matthew David Bomhoff

TUC920030179US1

1316

45216

7590

12/24/2008

Kunzler & McKenzie  
8 EAST BROADWAY  
SUITE 600  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

MATTIS, JASON E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                       |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/800,529 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BOMHOFF ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>JASON E. MATTIS   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2416               |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jason Mattis. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Scott Thorpe. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hutchison et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discused proposed claim amendments in view of Hutchison et al. Agreed that Hutchison et al. does not use non-switch connecitons having a point-to-point topology.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| /Jason E Mattis/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2416 |  |
|---|--|